1 2 3	WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY MAILSTOP 7600 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504			
4 5 6 7 8 9	IN THE MATTER OF: NO. PSD-90-03 AMENDMENT 3 FINAL APPROVAL Ash Grove Cement Company OF PSD APPLICATION Portland Cement Clinkering Plant Seattle, Washington			
10	Pursuant to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations for the Prevention of			
11	Significant Deterioration (PSD) set forth in Title 40, Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 52 and			
12	based upon the complete Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) application submitted by			
13	Ash Grove Cement Company and the technical analysis performed by the Department of Ecology			
14	(Ecology), dated November 16, 1998, Ecology now finds the following:			
15	FINDINGS			
16	1. Ash Grove Cement Company (Ash Grove) constructed a new 750,000 ton per year			
17	clinker-producing Portland cement plant in the Seattle Duwamish area after obtaining the			
18	necessary preconstruction approvals and permits in the form of a final PSD approval			
19	issued by Ecology on June 20, 1990 and Order of Approval No. 7381 issued by the Puget			
20	Sound Air Pollution Control Agency (PSAPCA) on June 19, 1990. On December 29,			
21	1994, PSAPCA issued the amended Order of Approval No. 3382. The corresponding			
22	amended PSD was issued on November 7, 1995. An application for an amendment to the			
23	PSD approval was submitted on June 6, 1995, and was found to be complete on July 12,			
24	1995. On June 29, 1998, PSAPCA issued the second amendment to the Order of			
25	Approval, No. 7381. The corresponding amended PSD was issued on March 8, 1999. On			
26	June 6, 2001, Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (PSCAA, formerly PSAPCA) issued the			
27	third amendment in the updated version of Order of Approval No. 7381.			
28	2. The cement plant qualifies as a major source of air pollutants because it is listed as a			
29	major stationary source under Title 40, Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 51, Section			
30	166, paragraph (b)(1)(i)(a) and has the potential to emit more than 100 tons per year of			

- 31 several pollutants. It is located in an area designated Class II for the purposes of PSD
- 32 evaluation, under 40 CFR 51.24.
- 33 3. The Ash Grove site is within an area that is currently in attainment with all National
- 34 Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).
- 4. At the time of the original permit application, the proposed emissions of nitrogen oxides
- 36 (NO_X), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and carbon monoxide (CO) were in excess of PSD pollutant
- 37 significance levels and were subject to PSD review by Ecology. The emissions of all
- other air pollutants, including particulate matter, from the proposed project were subject
- 39 to new source review by the PSAPCA.
- 40 5. PSCAA treated PM₁₀ emissions from the Ash Grove facility under "non-attainment"
- requirements. PSCAA required lowest achievable emission rates (LAER) and offsets for
- 42 control of PM₁₀ emissions. PSCAA and Ecology required Best Available Control
- Technology (BACT) for the control of all air pollutants emitted by the proposed project.
- 44 6. The NAAQS for NO_X is based on annual emissions quantities. The facility as proposed in
- 45 the original PSD application would generate up to 1,846 tons per year of NO_X. Changes
- 46 to short-term emission limits requested in this PSD amendment application will not result
- in any change in the annual emissions of NO_X .
- The facility as proposed in the original PSD application would generate up to 176 tons
- 49 per year of SO₂. No changes to emission limits for SO₂ are requested in this PSD
- amendment.
- 51 8. The facility as proposed in the original PSD application would generate up to 3,353 tons
- per year of CO. There are no changes to emission limits for CO in this PSD amendment.
- 53 9. The facility as proposed in the original PSD application would generate up to 18.6 tons
- 54 per year of volatile organic compounds (VOC). There are no changes to VOC emission
- rate in this PSD amendment.
- 56 10. This amendment will have no significant adverse impact on air quality.
- 57 11. Odors from the facility will be kept to a reasonable minimum.
- 58 12. No noticeable effect on industrial, commercial, or residential growth in the Seattle area is
- anticipated due to this amendment.

60	13.	maximum allowable emissions from Ash Grove under the original and amended PSD			
61		permits will not impair Visibility in any Class I area. Screening analyses showed no			
62		significant visibility degradation resulting from the modification.			
63	14.	Ecology finds that all requirements for PSD amendment are satisfied.			
64		APPROVAL CONDITIONS			
65	1.	NO_X emissions from the system exhaust stack shall not exceed 650 ppm corrected to ten			
66		percent oxygen and standard dry conditions on a 24-hour average. NO _X emissions shall			
67		be measured by a continuous emission monitoring system that meets the requirements of			
68		condition 7 whenever the kiln is operating. NO_X emissions from the system exhaust			
69		stack shall not exceed an annual emission limit of 1,846 tons per year based upon 8,760			
70		hours of operation per year.			
71	2.	SO ₂ emissions from the system exhaust stack shall not exceed:			
72		a. 180 ppm corrected to ten percent oxygen and standard dry conditions on an			
73		hourly average, except during periods of start-up preheat, start-up and shutdown			
74		of the kiln, and during scheduled maintenance of the main baghouse;			
75		b. During the kiln start-up feed introduction period SO ₂ emissions from the system			
76		exhaust stack shall not exceed 200 ppm corrected to ten percent oxygen and			
77		standard dry conditions on an hourly average; and			
78		c. During the kiln start-up pre-heating period, shutdown of the kiln, and during			
79		scheduled maintenance of the main baghouse, the SO ₂ limit shall consist of			
80		compliance with the following work practices and conditions:			
81		1) Only natural gas shall be used as fuel during the kiln start-up pre-heating			
82		period;			
83		2) Sulfur rings shall be removed from the kiln prior to start-up if sulfur ring			
84		formation had required the kiln to be shut down; and			
85		The kiln shall be operated in accordance with all PSAPCA operation and			
86 87		maintenance requirements. SO ₂ emissions shall be measured by a continuous emission monitoring system that meets			
88		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
00		the requirements of condition 7 whenever the kiln is operating, other than during pre-			

89		heating. SO ₂ emissions from the system exhaust stack shall not exceed an annual			
90		emission limit of 176 tons per year based upon 8,760 hours of operation per year.			
91	3.	CO emissions from the system exhaust stack shall not exceed 1,045 ppm corrected to to			
92		percent oxygen and standard dry conditions or 538 pounds per hour on an eight-hour			
93		average. CO emissions shall be measured by a continuous emission monitoring system			
94		that meets the requirements of condition 7 whenever the kiln is operating. CO emission			
95		from the system exhaust stack shall not exceed an annual emission limit of 2,353 tons per			
96		year based upon 8,760 hours of operation per year.			
97	4.	With the exception of NO _X , SO ₂ , and CO the net increase in emissions of any pollutant			
98		regulated under the clean air act shall be less than the significant levels in 40 CFR			
99		52.21(b)(23)(i), (July 1, 1988 revision).			
100	5.	Within 60 days after achieving maximum production, but not later than 180 days after			
101		initial start-up by any source affected by the modification, Ash Grove shall conduct			
102		performance tests for NO _X , SO ₂ , and CO at that source to be performed by an			
103		independent testing firm. A test plan shall be submitted for Ecology's approval at least			
104		30 days prior to the testing.			
105	6.	Sampling ports and platforms must be provided for the system exhaust stack after the			
106		final pollution control device. The ports must meet the requirements of 40 CFR, Part 60,			
107		Appendix A Method 1, (July 1, 1988 revision). Adequate permanent and safe access to			
108		the test ports must be provided. Other arrangements may be acceptable if approved by			
109		Ecology prior to installation.			
110	7.	Any continuous emission monitoring system required in conditions 1 through 3 shall			
111		conform with EPA Title 40 Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 60, Appendix B			
112		Performance Specifications (July 1, 1988 revision) as indicated below:			
113		a. Continuous emission monitoring systems for SO ₂ and NO _X - Performance			
114		Specification 2			
115		b. Continuous emission monitoring systems for O ₂ and CO ₂ - Performance			
116		Specification 3			

c.

117

Continuous emission monitoring systems for ${\rm CO}$ - Performance Specification 4

118		In addition, before initial start-up a continuous emission monitoring quality control plan			
119		conforming with 40 CFR 60 Appendix F (July 1, 1988 revision) and acceptable to			
120		Ecology must be submitted and Ecology may require the plan to be periodically updated.			
121	8.	CEMS and process data required in conditions 1 through 3 shall be reported to the Puget			
122		Sound Air Pollution Control Agency at least monthly within thirty days of the end of			
123		each calendar month and in a format approved by the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control			
124		Agency.			
125	9.	For each occurrence of monitored emissions or process parameters in excess of the			
126		standard the report shall include the following:			
127		a. The time of the occurrence.			
128		b. Magnitude of the emission or process parameters excess.			
129		c. The duration of the excess.			
130		d. The probable cause.			
131		e. Any corrective actions taken or planned.			
132		f. Demonstrations required under WAC 173-400-107 (4), (5), or (6), presented to			
133		PSAPCA "as soon as possible" as required under WAC 173-400-107 (3), if the			
134		exceedance is to be considered as an "unavoidable excess emission" not subject to			
135		penalty.			
136	10.	Operating and maintenance manuals for all equipment that has the potential to affect			
137		emissions to the atmosphere shall be developed and followed. Copies of the manuals			
138		shall be available to Ecology and the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency.			
139		Emissions that result from a failure to follow the requirements of the manuals may be			
140		considered proof that the equipment was not properly operated and maintained.			
141	11.	Operation of the equipment must be conducted in accordance with data and specifications			
142		submitted as part of the PSD application unless otherwise approved by Ecology.			
143	12.	This approval shall become void if construction of the project is not commenced within			
144		eighteen (18) months after receipt of final approval, or if construction or operation of the			
145		facility is discontinued for a period of eighteen (18) months.			
146	13.	Any activity by Ash Grove or others, in a manner that is inconsistent with the application			

147

and this determination, shall be subject to enforcement under applicable regulations.

148		Nothing in this determination shall be construed so as to relieve Ash Grove of its			
149		obligations under any state, local, or federal laws or regulations.			
150	14.	Ash Grove shall notify Ecology in writing at least thirty days prior to start-up by any of			
151		the sources affected by the modification.			
152	15.	Access to the source by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Ecology or			
153		Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency personnel shall be permitted upon request for			
154		the purpose of compliance assurance inspections. Failure to allow access is grounds for			
155	revocation of this determination of approval.				
156	Revie	ewed by:			
157 158		Bernard Brady, P.E.	 Date		
156 159		Technical, Information, and Engineering Services	Date		
160		Washington State Department of Ecology			
161	Appr	oved by:			
162					
163		Mary E. Burg	Date		
164		Manager, Air Quality Program			
165		Washington State Department of Ecology			

165